

United States Patent and Trademark Office,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/772,051	0	1/29/2001	Kazuhisa Shida	0941.65172	8505	
24978	7590	10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
GREER, BU 300 S WACI		CRAIN		UHLIR, NIKOLAS J		
25TH FLOOR			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606				1773		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

34							
٠ هوا	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()/)				
0.00	09/772,051	SHIDA ET AL.	Ψ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nikolas J. Uhlir	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	:				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	September 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12,13,15 and 16</u> is/are per	nding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-10, 12-13, and 15-16</u> is/are re	ejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	7	A 11 (1 A)					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		n received in this National Stag	е				
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	t received					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	r rodolyou.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/772,051 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicants amendment/argument dated 09/10/2004. Applicant's amendment is sufficient to overcome the previous grounds of rejection. Accordingly, the previous rejection of claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-13, and 15-16 as unpatentable under 103(a) are hereby withdrawn. However, the applicant's amendment does not render the application allowable because it introduces new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation at issue is: "the second underlayer has a larger sum total of elements other than Cr and Ti" than the first underlayer, present in claims 1, 8, and 16 (the only independent claims). While there is support for the limitation, "the second underlayer has a larger sum total of elements other than Cr" then the first underlayer, there is no support for the limitation, "other than Cr and Ti" in the disclosure as originally filed. Thus, this limitation constitutes new matter.
- 2. The examiner further notes that the limitation "other than Cr and Ti" in claims 1, 8 and 16 is a negative limitation. With respect to negative limitations, the MPEP states: "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure ... The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,051 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement" MPEP 2173.05(i). Again, the examiner acknowledges that there is support in the disclosure as originally filed for the limitation "other than Cr." However, there is no support for the limitation "other than Cr and Ti."

3. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-13, and 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. All of applicant's arguments are drawn to the fact that the previously cited prior art does not teach the new limitations in the amended claims. The examiner does not concede his position with respect to the version of the claims prior to the amendment. Should the new matter be removed, the examiner would maintain the previous grounds of rejection absent a persuasive argument or an amendment to the claims that does not enter impermissible new matter. However, insofar as the amended claims are concerned, the previous grounds of rejection do not apply and so are withdrawn.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1773

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikolas J. Uhlir whose telephone number is 571-272-1517. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mil

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER